



29 December 2011

Child Protection Inquiry

Evidence by an Investigative and Independent Web Publisher

Introduction

1. This submission addresses the third bullet point: *thresholds for intervention, for taking children into care and for adoption*. It provides evidence for intervention by social services, police and legal teams of local authorities who collude to, as the Sunday Express published on 11 December 2011, ‘[sex up abuse claims to snatch children for adoption](#)’¹. And just as John Hemming [MP claims that 1,000 children are adopted wrongly every year](#)², so do I claim that far too many children are removed against the will of the parent(s) and definitely NOT for the benefit or “protection” of the child.
2. The submission is based upon experiences gained from publishing various websites. Reports of white collar crimes have been gathered orally since 1998, when [meetings in Westminster](#)³ began under the auspices of Parliamentarians such as Lord Sudeley, Lord Ahmed and Austin Mitchell MP.
3. This submission is an attempt to summarise efforts that are being made *online* by victims of child snatching, while the mainstream media, with the exception of Christopher Booker in the Telegraph, tend to ignore the subject.
4. On behalf of many bloggers and website publishers, this submission welcomes the Committee’s inquiry. A comprehensive list of sites covering the subject is constantly being updated on [world wide links](#)⁴. In addition, the following sites offer relevant links:
 - a. [Social Services Stealing Children](#)⁵ on [Victims Unite](#)⁶ – *fighting white collar crimes* – with over 79,000 visitors since August 2010
 - b. [Vicky Haigh](#):⁷ *requesting her daughter to return* – with over 29,000 visitors since May 2011, despite setting to “private” in August
 - c. [This Nigerian Family wants to go Home](#)⁸ – *WITH their Six Kidnapped Children* – acknowledged to be the worst of all child snatching cases in the UK – with over 26,000 visitors since July 2011.
5. As a matter of principle, “thresholds for intervention” ought to be distinguished between Social Services taking children for the sake of taking them and those where couples fight over the custody of their child. This often seems to result in the child either being given to the abusive parent or into care.

¹ <http://www.express.co.uk/posts/view/289232/Social-workers-sex-up-abuse-claims-to-snatch-children-for-adoption>

² <http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-politics-16157124>

³ <http://forumforstablecurrencies.info/chronology>

⁴ <http://gloriamusa.wordpress.com/public-support/world-wide-links/>

⁵ <http://victims-unite.net/our-cases-as-stories/social-services-stealing-children-all-over-the-country/>

⁶ <http://victims-unite.net/about/>

⁷ <http://vickyhaigh.wordpress.com/about/>

⁸ <http://gloriamusa.wordpress.com/about/>

Overview

6. In the reports that I have come across, abuse claims by mothers have been ignored, whereas abuse claims by Social Services were falsified, fabricated and manipulated – always to the detriment of the children and the mother or, of both parents and grandparents.
7. This suggests that the “threshold for intervention” is ignored, not understood, abused or any combination thereof.
8. As a result, the online petition [The Secrecy of Family Courts should be lifted NOW](#) was published on 20th May 2011. By now, it has been viewed nearly 12,000 times and signed by over 800 people. Especially the [comments](#)⁹ demonstrate a level of injustice, cruelty and suffering inflicted by local authorities under the disguise of “child protection”, i.e. the threshold for intervention is at least “sexed up”, if not fabricated altogether to justify adoptions.
9. Since 2006, [State Stealing Children](#)¹⁰ has been published by David Icke – covering nearly 100 articles.
10. On my own website, [state kidnapping](#)¹¹ appeared in July 2010 – without evidence of individual cases yet.

Gagging Orders suppress Mainstream Media Support and Justice in Family Courts

11. The complicity of the mainstream media is best shown by [The untold story of gagging orders](#)¹² which was published by *The Independent* in May 2011:
 - a. 69 gags related to celebrities
 - b. 264 cases referred to children and young adults.
12. Because the threshold for invention has NOT been respected appropriately, untold damage is being done to children and families by Social Services. Hence I wear three gagging orders with pride.
13. First, I received an “injunction order” by Swansea Council in March 2011, after publishing the *Story of Baby Harley on Victims Unite*.
 - a. As serious abuse, social workers and police took a baby from her mother at 4am.
 - b. In terms of white collar crimes, it presented falsified court documents that I had seen for other purposes, but for the first time in the context of “child protection”.
 - c. By adhering to the gag, I learned about the secrecy surrounding family courts that appears to be used to cover up crimes, fabricated social work evidence, social workers, children guardians and expert witnesses, rather than the stated reasons of “protecting” children.
14. Thanks to *Victims Unite*, I heard about *Vicky Haigh* in April 2011. After having studied the evidence that had been collated by Liz Watson, I published some of her articles on *Victims Unite* and in May 2011 [Vicky Haigh requesting her daughter to return](#)¹³. Since John Hemming MP had [removed the gag](#)¹⁴, Vicky Haigh and Doncaster Council could be named.
 - a. Vicky Haigh’s case is characterised by Doncaster Council ignoring the child’s evidence of abuse. It is impossible to talk about the mother’s legal battle without mentioning her imprisonment for three years for saying hello to her daughter. John Hemming MP published the link to that story on his blog on 18th December 2011. It is hidden by the “paywall” of *The Times*. From prison Vicky writes: “I received a 3-year sentence for bumping into R in a petrol station in March, which was the same day of the Parliamentary meeting which the Council also tried to put me in prison for. The system is putting away people who are threatening them, as it is slowly being exposed.”

⁹ <http://www.gopetition.com/petitions/the-secrecy-of-the-family-courts-should-be-lifted-now.html>

¹⁰ <http://www.davidicke.com/articles/state-stealing-children>

¹¹ <http://edm1297.info/spiritual-advice-for-victims/our-complaints/12-state-kidnapping/>

¹² <http://www.independent.co.uk/news/media/press/the-untold-story-of-gagging-orders-2288607.html>

¹³ <http://vickyhaigh.wordpress.com/about/>

¹⁴ <http://johnhemming.blogspot.com/2011/04/gag-removed-job-done.html>

- b. When Doncaster Council issued a “reporting restriction order”, I duly edited the site such that the child could not be identified.
- c. Being based in Germany, I felt safe from the threat of prison. After all, I was investigating white collar crimes that were committed to facilitate child snatching. But Liz Watson was imprisoned for nine months and released on bail after 10 days, with conditions that included removing items from some of my websites. I followed the request of her solicitor.

15. In the process of publishing *Victims Unite* and *Vicky Haigh requesting her daughter to return*, I learned about many other child snatching cases, and especially the Nigerian couple whose six children were snatched by Haringey Council who were the third Council to send me a gagging order.

- a. In this case it is not possible to talk about a “threshold for intervention”. For Haringey Police invaded the Musas’ home with eight officers to take their five children in April 2010 - without any oral justification or legal paper work at all. Allegations were produced subsequently, in the desperate attempt to “justify the council’s action”, as told by a whistleblower and published in *The Telegraph* on 18th December 2010 in [Does this family have human rights? – Social workers persist in their cruel treatment of an innocent family](#), says Christopher Booker.
- b. The sixth child was taken by nine police officers right after birth in June 2010, after physically assaulting the mother in the process, who was left unconscious.
- c. Since the eldest daughter reported that she had been sexually molested by the 19-year old son of the foster parents, she has not been seen by parents, siblings or relatives. Since many people claim she is dead, her parents desperately seek credible confirmation that she is alive and in good health.
- d. It should be noted that the [Nigerian Parliament](#)¹⁵ has been investigating this injustice, as John Hemming MP mentioned when he gave evidence in December 2011.
- e. Since the inquiry does not cover individual cases, this submission suggests to invite everybody, who considers this case to be the worst, to give oral evidence, because of their wide experience:
 - i. [Ian Josephs](#), former local councillor of Kent who publishes [Forced Adoption](#)¹⁶ in Monaco and who formulated what makes the [UK unique](#)¹⁷ with respect to child protection. He also published [Punishment without Crime](#)¹⁸ as the terrible travesty and calumny in family courts.
 - ii. [Christopher Booker](#), journalist and columnist for *The Telegraph* who published [14 articles on the Musas](#)¹⁹ alone.
 - iii. [Heather Collins](#), signer no 15 of the online petition who has observed cases with inappropriate thresholds for intervention for 11 years.

16. I have not been gagged by Walsall Council for publishing the [story of grandparents](#)²⁰ who lost their three grandchildren to overzealous Social Services. But their case is worth mentioning to demonstrate that not only is the “threshold for intervention” far from being appropriately acted upon, but children are distinctly worse off in care or adoption than with their birth parents:

- a. since having been wrongly adopted, the children have not attended school, medical appointments and been seen dirty and unkempt
- b. the marriage of the parents broke up due to the strain caused by the loss of the children.

17. A similar disgrace is the story of a grandmother whose case is characterised by the extreme abuse of court processes

- a. she has no access to her grandson who is visibly suffering in foster care
- b. she is expected to pay for completely ineffectual court proceedings

¹⁵ <http://www.afriquejet.com/british-police-nigerian-family-2011102625957.html>

¹⁶ <http://www.forced-adoption.com/>

¹⁷ <http://gloriamusa.wordpress.com/unique-uk/>

¹⁸ <http://victimsunite.files.wordpress.com/2011/03/11-03-29-punishment-without-crime.pdf>

¹⁹ <http://gloriamusa.wordpress.com/public-support/booker-articles/>

²⁰ <http://victims-unite.net/our-cases-as-stories/social-services-stealing-children-all-over-the-country/the-thompson-family/>

- c. and she is being gagged – including to contact her MP.
18. Essex Council are the culprit in a case of two teenage sons who were also taken without proper justification, let alone by respecting any “threshold for intervention”:
- a. [Why did social services take my children away?](#)²¹
 - b. This case repeats what has happened to others: the Council tries to prevent contact with MPs!
19. The *Rule of Law* has been replaced by the *Rule of Money*. This is best illustrated by the article [Private equity buyers line up for National Fostering Agency](#)²².
- a. Parents and grandparents who raise their children with love are expected to be replaced by people who get paid to look after children.
 - b. Carers who are “just doing their job” will never be as loving as birth parents.
 - c. There is a lot of evidence for children being abused whilst in care. In fact, Vicky Haigh writes from prison that 70% of her inmates were abused as children.
20. It is hoped that this short overview encourages the Committee to question
- a. the validity of secrecy in family courts
 - b. the appropriateness of ‘adoption targets’ on one hand and public cuts on the other
 - c. the collusion between police and social services for the purposes of “child protection” rather than the investigation of white collar crimes.
21. It is furthermore hoped that the Committee will ensure that justice, fairness and respect will prevail
- a. by asking the 10,000 children a year whether they have been put into care wrongly?
 - b. by asking the 1,000 children a year whether they have been adopted wrongly?
 - i. See [Child Rights NGOs Welcome the Decision of the United Nations to Provide an International Remedy for Children](#)²³ – 19th December 2011
 - c. by getting the Police to investigate what happens to missing children, such as 600 a year in Wales
 - d. for the parents who have been robbed of their human rights to a family life (Article 8)
 - e. and for supporters who have been intimidated, bullied, harassed or even criminalised:
 - i. [Kay Young](#), a social worker who fled to Ireland to protect her son from being snatched
 - ii. six women whose children were removed because they supported the Musas
 - iii. everybody who had to leave the UK – best known to Ian Josephs
 - iv. everybody who has been gagged unduly – best known to the authors of The Independent article.
22. Finally, it is hoped that the publications by European journalists in mainstream media will contribute to making a difference:
- a. The special radio prize for [Great Britain: The Stolen Children](#)²⁴ that rewarded reporting “shocking and relatively unknown human rights violations”
 - b. A TV programme by a French producer to be broadcast in March 2012.

²¹ http://www.echo-news.co.uk/news/9425509.Why_did_social_services_take_my_children_away/

²² <http://tgr.ph/teVTbL>

²³ <http://www.omct.org/rights-of-the-child/statements/2011/12/d21563/>

²⁴ <http://lorenzonaliprize.eu/category/winners/2011/special-radio-prize-2011/>